





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVEN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,714	06/25/2001	Johan Smets	CM2380	8782	
27752	7590 12/03/2003	EXAMINER			
	TER & GAMBLE COMI	KUMAR,	KUMAR, PREETI		
	JAL PROPERTY DIVISIO LL TECHNICAL CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•	R HILL AVENUE	1751			
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۵		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/888,7	14	SMETS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Preeti Ku		1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 September 2	<u>2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is no	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78. <ol> <li>The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ol> </li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		4) Interview Summary ( 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/888,714

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1-4 and 7-12 are pending.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over von der Osten et al. (US 6,015,783) is maintained for the reasons of record, cited in the previous office actions, paper no.6 and 8.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Danielsen et al. (US 6,410,291) is maintained for the reasons of record, cited in the previous office action, paper no.8.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on September 15, 2003 in paper # 12, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's urge that von der Osten et al. and Danielsen et al. fail to teach or suggest a detergent composition comprising a starch binding domain that is introduced into the claimed detergent composition as part of the present cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme as set forth in the amended claim 1.
- 5. However, it is well known in the art that the most known and studied starch binding domains are found in cyclodextrin glucanotransferases (E.C. 2.4.1.19) and glucoamylases (E.C. 3.2.1.3). One of ordinary skill in the art is well aware that these enzymes possess polypeptide sequences having affinity for binding starch and thus incorporation of the enzyme incorporates the entire polypeptide sequence unless there are specific mutations or deletions. Thus, contrary to Applicant's arguments, since both

Application/Control Number: 09/888,714

Art Unit: 1751

von der Osten et al. and Danielsen et al. specifically suggest a detergent composition

comprising cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme, then they inherently teach the

introduction of the starch binding domain into the detergent composition as part of the

cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme. Furthermore, Applicants' have not provided

where in the prior art references they have found basis to make the allegation that both

von der Osten et al. and Danielsen et al. suggest that the starch binding domains of the

cyclodextrin glucanotransferases (E.C. 2.4.1.19) and glucoamylases (E.C. 3.2.1.3) be

spliced out.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 703-305-

0178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-

9309.

Preeti Kumar Examiner

Art Unit 1751

PK

LORNA M. DOUYON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Lonn M. Duyo